## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The final Office Action dated November 1, 2007 found claims 17-19, 21-23, 28 and 29 to recite patentable subject matter, but rejected the claims for unclear language under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

An Agenda for Telephone Interview with proposed non-limiting amendments to claims 17 and 21 was submitted to the Examiner and discussed in a telephone interview on December 14, 2007. The draft claims were revised in the telephone interview as presented herein, and it was agreed that they satisfy the Examiner's requirements.

The Interview Summary dated December 20, 2007 presents the substance of the telephone interview.

In view of the foregoing, allowance of claims 17-19, 21-23, 28 and 29 is requested.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE EFS FILING SYSTEM ON January 18, 2008.

JAF:lf

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Finder

Registration No.: 30,173

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700